Contribution ID: 90c8afcc-7f85-4a27-9bd1-9d682fb587ab

Date: 08/09/2020 12:59:56

Digital Services Act package: open public consultation

Fields marked with *	are mandatory.	
	,	

Introduction

The Commission recently announced a Digital Services Act package with two main pillars:

- first, a proposal of new and revised rules to deepen the Single Market for Digital Services, by increasing and harmonising the responsibilities of online platforms and information service providers and reinforce the oversight over platforms' content policies in the EU:
- second, ex ante rules to ensure that markets characterised by large platforms with significant network effects acting as gatekeepers, remain fair and contestable for innovators, businesses, and new market entrants.

This consultation

The Commission is initiating the present open public consultation as part of its evidence-gathering exercise, in order to identify issues that may require intervention through the Digital Services Act, as well as additional topics related to the environment of digital services and online platforms, which will be further analysed in view of possible upcoming initiatives, should the issues identified require a regulatory intervention.

The consultation contains 6 modules (you can respond to as many as you like):

- 1. How to effectively keep users safer online?
- 2. Reviewing the liability regime of digital services acting as intermediaries?
- 3. What issues derive from the gatekeeper power of digital platforms?
- 4. Other emerging issues and opportunities, including online advertising and smart contracts
- 5. How to address challenges around the situation of self-employed individuals offering services through online platforms?
- 6. What governance for reinforcing the Single Market for digital services?

Digital services and other terms used in the questionnaire

The questionnaire refers to **digital services** (or 'information society services', within the meaning of the E-Commerce Directive), as 'services provided through electronic means, at a distance, at the request of the user'. It also refers more narrowly to a subset of digital services here termed **online intermediary services**. By this we mean services such as internet access providers, cloud services, online platforms, messaging services, etc., i.e. services that generally transport or intermediate content, goods or services made available by third parties. Parts of the questionnaire specifically focus on **online platforms** – such as e-commerce marketplaces, search engines, app stores, online travel and accommodation platforms or mobility platforms and other collaborative economy platforms, etc.

Other terms and other technical concepts are explained in a glossary.

How to respond

Make sure to save tour draft regularly as you fill in the questionnaire. You off can break and return to finish it at any time. At the end, you will also be able to upload a document or add other issues not covered in detail in the questionnaire.

Deadline for responses

8 September 2020.

Languages

You can submit your response in any official EU language. The questionnaire is available in 23 of the EU's official languages. You can switch languages from the menu at the top of the page.

About you

- *1 Language of my contribution
 - Bulgarian
 - Croatian
 - Czech
 - Danish
 - Dutch
 - English
 - Estonian
 - Finnish

	French
	© Gaelic
	© German
	© Greek
	Hungarian
	Italian
	Latvian
	Lithuanian
	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
	Romanian
	Slovak
	Slovenian
	Spanish
	Swedish
	am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other
*0 -	
3 F	First name
	Xnet
*45	Surname
	Xnet

*5 Email (this won't be published)
xnet@xnet-x.net
*7 Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
Xnet - Instituto para la Cultura Democrática en la era digital.
*8 Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)
Medium (50 to 249 employees)
Large (250 or more)
10 Are you self-employed and offering services through an online platform? — Yes — No
16 Does your organisation play a role in:
Flagging illegal activities or information to online intermediaries for removal
Fact checking and/or cooperating with online platforms for tackling harmful (but not illegal) behaviours
$^{lacktrel{ ilde{V}}}$ Representing fundamental rights in the digital environment
Representing consumer rights in the digital environment
Representing rights of victims of illegal activities online
Representing interests of providers of services intermediated by online platforms
Other
17 Is your organisation a
Law enforcement authority, in a Member State of the EU
Government, administrative or other public authority, other than law
enforcement, in a Member State of the EU
Other, independent authority, in a Member State of the EU
EU-level authority
International level authority, other than at EU level

	V	Other
	18 ls	your business established in the EU?
	•	Yes
	0	No
	19 P	lease select the EU Member States where your organisation is established or
(curre	ently has a legal representative in:
		Austria
		Belgium
		Bulgaria
		Croatia
		Cyprus
		Czechia
		Denmark
		Estonia
	0	Finland
		France
		Germany
		Greece
		Hungary
		Ireland
		Italy
		Latvia
		Lithuania
	0	Luxembourg
	0	Malta
	0	Netherlands
	0	Poland
	0	Portugal
	0	Romania
	0	Slovak Republic
	0	Slovenia
	•	Spain
		Sweden

20 Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

21 Country of origin			
Please add your country of origin Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
[©] Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and Miquelon
Albania	Dominican Republic	Lithuania	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
AmericanSamoa	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial Guinea	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and Barbuda	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall Islands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	SolomonIslands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French	Micronesia	South Africa

Polynesia

Bangladesh	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	Moldova	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba			
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina			
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory			
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands			
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island	Niue Niue	Togo
	and McDonald		
Durking Face	Islands	Navfalls lalavad	O Takalaw
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	Northern Mariana Jalanda	Tonga
O Combodia	O Hungari	Mariana Islands	Tripided and
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and
			Tobago

Cameroon	Iceland	North Macedonia	Tunisia
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and
•			Caicos Islands
Central African	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Republic			
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New	United Arab
		Guinea	Emirates
Christmas	Italy	Paraguay	United
Island			Kingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru	United States
Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	Philippines	United States
Islands			Minor Outlying
			Islands
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands	Uruguay
Comoros	Jordan	Poland	US Virgin
			Islands
Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal	Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico	Vanuatu
Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion	Venezuela
Croatia	Kuwait	Romania	Vietnam
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia	Wallis and
			Futuna
Curação	Laos	Rwanda	Western
	_		Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint	Yemen
		Barthélemy	

Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena	Zambia
		Ascension and	
		Tristan da	
		Cunha	
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and	Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis	
Congo			
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	

*22 Publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

I. How to effectively keep users safer online?

This module of the questionnaire is structured into several subsections:

First, it seeks evidence, experience, and data from the perspective of different stakeholders regarding illegal activities online, as defined by national and EU law. This includes the availability online of illegal goods (e.g. dangerous products, counterfeit goods, prohibited and restricted goods, protected wildlife, pet trafficking, illegal medicines, misleading offerings of food supplements), content (e.g. illegal hate speech, child sexual abuse material, content that infringes intellectual property rights), and services, or practices that infringe consumer law (such as scams, misleading advertising, exhortation to purchase made to children) online. It covers all types of illegal activities, both as regards criminal law and civil law.

It then asks you about other activities online that are not necessarily illegal but could cause harm to users, such as the spread of online disinformation or harmful content to minors.

It also seeks facts and informed views on the potential risks of erroneous removal of legitimate content. It also asks you about the transparency and accountability of measures taken by digital services and online platforms in particular in intermediating users' access to their content and enabling oversight by third parties. Respondents might also be interested in related questions in the module of the consultation focusing on online advertising.

Second, it explores proportionate and appropriate responsibilities and obligations that could be required

from online intermediaries, in particular online platforms, in addressing the set of issues discussed in the first sub-section.

This module does not address the liability regime for online intermediaries, which is further explored in the next module of the consultation.

1. Main issues and experiences

A. Experiences and data on illegal activities online
Illegal goods
1 Have you ever come across illegal goods on online platforms (e.g. a counterfeit product, prohibited and restricted goods, protected wildlife, pet trafficking, illegal medicines, misleading offerings of food supplements)? No, never Yes, once Yes, several times I don't know
3 Please specify.
3000 character(s) maximum
4 How easy was it for you to find information on where you could report the illegal good?
Please rate from 1 star (very difficult) to 5 stars (very easy)
5 How easy was it for you to report the illegal good?
Please rate from 1 star (very difficult) to 5 stars (very easy)
6 How satisfied were you with the procedure following your report?
Please rate from 1 star (very dissatisfied) to 5 stars (very satisfied)
7 Are you aware of the action taken following your report? © Yes © No

8 Please explain
3000 character(s) maximum
9 In your experience, were such goods more easily accessible online since the outbreak of COVID-19?
No, I do not think so
Yes, I came across illegal offerings more frequently
I don't know
10 What good practices can you point to in handling the availability of illegal goods online since the start of the COVID-19 outbreak?
5000 character(s) maximum
Illegal content
11 Did you ever some serves illegal content online (for example illegal incitement to
11 Did you ever come across illegal content online (for example illegal incitement to violence, hatred or discrimination on any protected grounds such as race, ethnicity,
gender or sexual orientation; child sexual abuse material; terrorist propaganda;
defamation; content that infringes intellectual property rights, consumer law
infringements)?
No, never
Yes, once
Yes, several times
I don't know
18 How has the dissemination of illegal content changed since the outbreak
of COVID-19? Please explain.
3000 character(s) maximum
19 What good practices can you point to in handling the dissemination of illegal
content online since the outbreak of COVID-19?
3000 character(s) maximum

20 What actions do online platforms take to minimise risks for consumers to be exposed to scams and other unfair practices (e.g. misleading advertising, exhortation to purchase made to children)?

3000 character(s) maximum

Actualmente, lo que hacen las plataformas es insuficiente pero es más de lo que hacen los otroas actores que tienen responsabilidad en la desinformación. Lo que se aplica a la plataforma de aplicarse también a los otros actores como medios e instituciones tanto en su actividad online como offline. Las plataformas han mejorado porque se les ha requerido aflorar los contenidos políticos (ej. librerías de anuncios) y estas medidas están progresando pero no serán eficaces si no se aplican a todos los actores responsables.

21	Do vo	u consider	these	measures	appropriate	e?
	,	a conciden	111000	modearee	appropria	٠,

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

22 Please explain.

3000 character(s) maximum

No consideramos que estas medidas sean suficientes. En efecto, no tiene sentido pedir la aplicación de medidas solo por parte de las plataformas. Ellas cobran para las viralizaciones segmentadas y masivas, pero quienes pagan son co-responsables.

Lo explicamos con mucho detalle en nuestro libro (https://xnet-x.net/informe-fake-news-desinformacion/) y en nuestras recomendaciones legislativas (https://xnet-x.net/ley-fakeyou/). Quienes pagan con mayor daño para el debate democrático suelen ser 1) partidos políticos; 2) instituciones; 3) corporaciones; 4) fortunas e influencers.

Cuando la información es mediada por pagos, es un negocio y todos los actores han de regularse. Y no se ha de segregar el online porque estos negocios son multi-plataformas online + offline.

De hecho, la combinación de ambos ámbitos es el actual campo omnipresente ded desinformación y manipulación de la opinión pública. Debemos recordar que Internet no debe ser tratado como un estado de emergencia ya que los daños para el debate democrático provienen de una combinación de los distintos campos de comunicación, online y offline y todos ellos deben ser abordados para resolver el problema. Por tanto, las medidas que se propongan deberían aplicarse no sólo al entorno online sino también al offline para gozar de la eficacia deseada, haciendo posible aflorar la inversión y trazar el origen.

B. Transparency

1 If your content or offering of goods and services was ever removed or blocked from an online platform, were you informed by the platform?

- Yes, I was informed before the action was taken
- Yes, I was informed afterwards
- Yes, but not on every occasion / not by all the platforms
- No, I was never informed
- I don't know

3 Please explain.						
3000 character(s) maximum						
4 If you provided a notice to access to such content or of the follow-up to the request Yes, I was informed Yes, but not on every No, I was never information I don't know	offering?	of goods or	services,	were you ii		_
5 When content is recomme platform, or videos to watch enough information on why explain. 3000 character(s) maximum	n, article	es to read, u	sers to fo	ollow - are y	ou able to	obtain
No. Los parámetros de seguimie dicho contenido se muestre son	-			arte de los anur	nciantes para	que
C. Activities that could cause 1 In your experience, are cl behaviour, such as groomir 3000 character(s) maximum	nildren	adequately	orotected	online from		
2 To what extent do you ag disinformation?	ree with	n the followi	ng staten	nents relate	d to online)
			Neither			l don't

	Fully agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree not disagree	Somewhat disagree	Fully disagree	l don't know/ No reply
Online platforms can easily be manipulated by foreign governments or other coordinated groups to spread divisive messages	0	•	0	•	0	•

To protect freedom of expression online, diverse voices should be heard	0	0	0	0	0	•
Disinformation is spread by manipulating algorithmic processes on online platforms	0	•	0	0	•	•
Online platforms can be trusted that their internal practices sufficiently guarantee democratic integrity, pluralism, non-discrimination, tolerance, justice, solidarity and gender equality.	•	•	•	•	•	•

3 Please explain.

3000 character(s) maximum

Encontramos las preguntas muy sesgadas porque:

- Pregunta 1) No son principalmente influencias extranjeras quienes manipulan la información que circula sino que sobre todo de partidos y grandes actores locales. Enfocar en "extranjero" desvía de mejorar realmente nuestras democracias.

Pregunta 2). El problema no consiste solo en escuchar diferentes voces, sino de verificar. Un dicho sobre periodismo es: No publiques la fuente q dice que llueve y la que dice que no en igualdad de condiciones. Asómate a la venta, y mira si llueve o hace sol, y solo después publica.

- Pregunta 3) La desinformación se difunde mediante la inversión de dinero para la emisión de mensajes sesgados por parte de grandes actores. Quienes cobran, viralizan mediante algoritmos que deben ser transparentes y públicos.
- Pregunta 4) Nadie puede ser de confianza si no es transparente en las indicaciones que dan a sus algoritmos y estos no se explican y publican.

Lo explicamos pormenorizadamente en https://xnet-x.net/informe-fake-news-desinformacion/ y en https://xnet-x.net/ley-fakeyou/

4 In your personal experience, how has the spread of harmful (but not illegal) activities online changed since the outbreak of COVID-19? Please explain.

activities offille changed since the outbreak of GOVID-13: Flease explain.	
3000 character(s) maximum	
- NATIONAL AND AND A STATE OF THE STATE OF T	
5 What good practices can you point to in tackling such harmful activities since the)
outbreak of COVID-19?	
3000 character(s) maximum	

This section covers situation where content, goods or services offered online may be removed erroneously contrary to situations where such a removal may be justified due to for example illegal nature of such content, good or service (see sections of this questionnaire above).

1 Are you aware of evidence on the scale and impact of erroneous removals of content, goods, services, or banning of accounts online? Are there particular experiences you could share?

5000 character(s) maximum

Ejemplos de supresiones erróneas de contenidos pueden encontrarse en: https://freiheitsrechte.org/urheberrecht/

Otro ejemplo del que tenemos constancia directa es el de fotoreporteros que cubren manifestaciones nazis para denunciarlas y se encuentran con que sus imágenes son sistemáticamente quitadas de redes como Instagram y Facebook porque el algoritmo cree que son fotos de nazis y no contra los nazis.

The following questions are targeted at organisations.

Individuals responding to the consultation are invited to go to section 2 here below on responsibilities for online platforms and other digital services

3 What is your experience in flagging content, or offerings of goods or services you

deemed illegal to online platforms and/or other types of online intermediary

services? Please explain in what capacity and through what means you flag
content.
3000 character(s) maximum
4 If applicable, what costs does your organisation incur in such activities?
3000 character(s) maximum

5 Have you encountered any issues, in particular, as regards illegal content or goods accessible from the EU but intermediated by services established in third countries? If yes, how have you dealt with these?

3000 char	acter(s) maximum			

6 If part of your activity is to send notifications or orders for removing illegal content or goods or services made available through online intermediary services, or taking other actions in relation to content, goods or services, please explain whether you report on your activities and their outcomes:

	Yes, through regular transparency reports	
	Yes, through reports to a supervising authority	
	Yes, upon requests to public information	
	Yes, through other means. Please explain	
	No , no such reporting is done	
	Ooes your organisation access any data or information from onli Yes, data regularly reported by the platform, as requested by Yes, specific data, requested as a competent authority Yes, through bilateral or special partnerships On the basis of a contractual agreement with the platform Yes, generally available transparency reports Yes, through generally available APIs (application programm Yes, through web scraping or other independent web data exapproaches Yes, because users made use of their right to port personal of Yes, other. Please specify in the text box below No	r law ne interfaces) xtraction
an we inf	What sources do you use to obtain information about users of of other digital services – such as sellers of products online, services to be be site holders or providers of content online? For what purpose formation?	vice providers,
rel	Do you use WHOIS information about the registration of domai ated information? Yes No I don't know	n names and
13	How valuable is this information for you?	
	Please rate from 1 star (not particularly important) to 5 (extremely important)	

14 Do you use or ar you aware of alternative sources of such data? Please explain. 3000 character(s) maximum
The following questions are targeted at online intermediaries.
A. Measures taken against illegal goods, services and content online shared by users
1 What systems, if any, do you have in place for addressing illegal activities conducted by the users of your service (sale of illegal goods -e.g. a counterfeit product, an unsafe product, prohibited and restricted goods, wildlife and pet trafficking - dissemination of illegal content or illegal provision of services)? A notice-and-action system for users to report illegal activities A dedicated channel through which authorities report illegal activities Cooperation with trusted organisations who report illegal activities, following a fast-track assessment of the notification A system for the identification of professional users ('know your customer') A system for penalising users who are repeat offenders A system for informing consumers that they have purchased an illegal good, once you become aware of this Multi-lingual moderation teams Automated systems for detecting illegal activities. Please specify the detection system and the type of illegal content it is used for Other systems. Please specify in the text box below No system in place
2 Please explain.
5000 character(s) maximum
3 What issues have you encountered in operating these systems? 5000 character(s) maximum

4 On your marketplace (if applicable), do you have specific policies or measures for the identification of sellers established outside the European Union?

No
5 Please quantify, to the extent possible, the costs of the measures related to 'notice-and-action' or other measures for the reporting and removal of different types of illegal goods, services and content, as relevant. 5000 character(s) maximum
6 Please provide information and figures on the amount of different types of illegal content, services and goods notified, detected, removed, reinstated and on the number or complaints received from users. Please explain and/or link to publicly reported information if you publish this in regular transparency reports. 5000 character(s) maximum
7 Do you have in place measures for detecting and reporting the incidence of suspicious behaviour (i.e. behaviour that could lead to criminal acts such as acquiring materials for such acts)? 3000 character(s) maximum
occo character(3) maximum
B. Measures against other types of activities that might be harmful but are not, in themselves, illegal
 1 Do your terms and conditions and/or terms of service ban activities such as: Spread of political disinformation in election periods? Other types of coordinated disinformation e.g. in health crisis? Harmful content for children? Online grooming, bullying? Harmful content for other vulnerable persons? Content which is harmful to women? Hatred, violence and insults (other than illegal hate speech)? Other activities which are not illegal per se but could be considered harmful?
2 Please explain your policy. 5000 character(s) maximum

Yes

3 Do you have a system in place for reporting such activities? What actions do they trigger? 3000 character(s) maximum
4 What other actions do you take? Please explain for each type of behaviour considered.
5000 character(s) maximum
5 Please quantify, to the extent possible, the costs related to such measures. 5000 character(s) maximum
6 Do you have specific policies in place to protect minors from harmful behaviours such as online grooming or bullying? Yes
© No
7 Please explain.
3000 character(s) maximum
C. Measures for protecting legal content goods and services
1 Does your organisation maintain an internal complaint and redress mechanism to your users for instances where their content might be erroneously removed, or their accounts blocked?
YesNo
2 What action do you take when a user disputes the removal of their goods or content or services, or restrictions on their account? Is the content/good reinstated? 5000 character(s) maximum

3 What are the quality standards and control mechanism you have in place for the automated detection or removal tools you are using for e.g. content, goods,
services, user accounts or bots?
3000 character(s) maximum
4 Do you have an independent oversight mechanism in place for the enforcement
of your content policies?
Yes
No
5 Please explain.
5000 character(s) maximum
D. Transparency and cooperation
4 De very estimate agravida the fallentina information.
1 Do you actively provide the following information:
Information to users when their good or content is removed, blocked or demoted
lacktriangle Information to notice providers about the follow-up on their report
lacktriangle Information to buyers of a product which has then been removed as being
illegal
2 Do you publish transparency reports on your content moderation policy?
Yes
No
3 Do the reports include information on:
Number of takedowns and account suspensions following enforcement of
your terms of service?
Number of takedowns following a legality assessment?
Notices received from third parties?
Referrals from authorities for violations of your terms of service?
Removal requests from authorities for illegal activities?
Number of complaints against removal decisions?

Number of reinstated content?
Other, please specify in the text box below
4 Please explain.
5000 character(s) maximum
5 What information is available on the automated tools you use for identification of
illegal content, goods or services and their performance, if applicable? Who has
access to this information? In what formats?
5000 character(s) maximum
6 How can third parties access data related to your digital service and under what
conditions?
Contractual conditions
Special partnerships
Available APIs (application programming interfaces) for data access
Reported, aggregated information through reports
Portability at the request of users towards a different service
At the direct request of a competent authority
Regular reporting to a competent authority
Other means. Please specify
7 Please explain or give references for the different cases of data sharing and
explain your policy on the different purposes for which data is shared.
5000 character(s) maximum

The following questions are open for all respondents.

2. Clarifying responsibilities for online platforms and other digital services

1 What responsibilities (i.e. legal obligations) should be imposed on online platforms and under what conditions?

Should such measures be taken, in your view, by all online platforms, or only by specific ones (e.g. depending on their size, capability, extent of risks of exposure to

illegal activities conducted by their users)? If you consider that some measures should only be taken by large online platforms, please identify which would these measures be.

	Yes, by all online platforms, based on the activities they intermediate (e.g. content hosting, selling goods or services)	Yes, only by larger online platforms	Yes, only platforms at particular risk of exposure to illegal activities by their users	Such measures should not be required by law
Maintain an effective 'notice and action' system for reporting illegal goods or content	•	0	0	0
Maintain a system for assessing the risk of exposure to illegal goods or content	•	0	0	•
Have content moderation teams, appropriately trained and resourced	0	•	0	0
Systematically respond to requests from law enforcement authorities	0	0	0	•
Cooperate with national authorities and law enforcement, in accordance with clear procedures	•	0	0	0
Cooperate with trusted organisations with proven expertise that can report illegal activities for fast analysis ('trusted flaggers')	•	0	0	•
Detect illegal content, goods or services	0	0	0	0
In particular where they intermediate sales of goods or services, inform their professional users about their obligations under EU law	©	0	0	•
Request professional users to identify themselves clearly ('know your customer' policy)	0	0	0	0

Provide technical means allowing professional users to comply with their obligations (e.g. enable them to publish on the platform the pre-contractual information consumers need to receive in accordance with applicable consumer law)	•	©	©	•
Inform consumers when they become aware of product recalls or sales of illegal goods	•	0	0	•
Cooperate with other online platforms for exchanging best practices, sharing information or tools to tackle illegal activities	•	•	0	•
Be transparent about their content policies, measures and their effects	•	0	0	0
Maintain an effective 'counter-notice' system for users whose goods or content is removed to dispute erroneous decisions	•	•	0	•
Other. Please specify	0	0	0	0

2 Please elaborate, if you wish to further explain your choices.

5000 character(s) maximum

Las autoridades no deben poder enviar solicitudes a las plataformas fuera de los casos previstos por ley que involucren a los juzgados, tribunales y autoridades competentes. Cuando las autoridades detecten contenido o comportamientos potencialmente ilegales deben utilizar los canales previstos al efecto. De este modo no se restringirían los derechos y libertades de los usuarios y se protegerían los derechos de procedimiento previstos por la ley.

3 What information would be, in your view, necessary and sufficient for users and third parties to send to an online platform in order to notify an illegal activity (sales of illegal goods, offering of services or sharing illegal content) conducted by a user of the service?

- Precise location: e.g. URL
- Precise reason why the activity is considered illegal
- Description of the activity
- Identity of the person or organisation sending the notification. Please explain under what conditions such information is necessary:
- Other, please specify

4 Please explain

3000 character(s) maximum

La solicitud debe poder hacerse por parte de quienes no sean expertos y no tengan conocimiento suficiente de la ley, es por ello que se pediría una explicación del contenido (identificado mediante la URL o identificador similar) y la razón por la que se cree que es ilegal pero no una base jurídica detallada. Así, las solicitudes no pueden desestimarse por el simple hecho de no ser lo suficientemente concretas de acuerdo con la ley sino que las plataformas deben comprobar los hechos descritos para compararlos con la ley y decidir si el contenido se retira. Aquello que es o no es ilegal debe ser definido por la ley y no por las plataformas y/o usuarios.

5 How should the reappearance of illegal content, goods or services be addressed, in your view? What approaches are effective and proportionate?

5000 character(s) maximum

La reincidencia legalmente demostrada debe considerarse agravante.

6 Where automated tools are used to detect illegal content, goods or services, what opportunities and risks does their use present as regards different types of illegal activities and the particularities of the different types of tools?

3000 character(s) maximum

El filtrado automatizado sin intervención humana ,sobre todo referido a opiniones de usuarios, produce a menudo censura de la libertad de expresión, retirando contenido legítimo a causa de la falta de comprensión del contexto de los sistemas utilizados por las plataformas. Véase: https://citizensandtech.org/2020/09/chilling-effect-automated-law-enforcemen/

Es por ello que no debería establecerse dicho filtrado y retirada de contenido automatizado por ley.

7 How should the spread of illegal goods, services or content across multiple platforms and services be addressed? Are there specific provisions necessary for addressing risks brought by:

- a. Digital services established outside of the Union?
- b. Sellers established outside of the Union, who reach EU consumers through online platforms?

3000 c	character(s) maximum			

8 What would be appropriate and proportionate measures for digital services acting as online intermediaries, other than online platforms, to take – e.g. other types of hosting services, such as web hosts, or services deeper in the internet stack, like cloud infrastructure services, content distribution services, DNS services, etc.?

Los intermediarios que solo almacenan o transmiten los datos de los usuarios no deberían ser hechos responsables del contenido que almacenan y/o transmiten. Hacerles responsables sería como hacer responsable al cartero del contenido de las cartas que entrega. Sin embargo aquellos que alojen el contenido subido por los usuarios, solo deberían ser responsables cuando incumplan una orden judicial que disponga que dicho contenido es efectivamente ilícito y debe retirarse. No debe obligarse a estos a actuar "de oficio" ellos mismos para juzgar y retirar el contenido supuestamente ilícito.

9 What should be the rights and responsibilities of other entities, such as authorities, or interested third-parties such as civil society organisations or equality bodies in contributing to tackle illegal activities online?

5000 character(s) maximum

Las responsabilidades autoridades, juzgados y tribunales no deberían externalizarse ni delegarse a terceros actores tales como pueden ser los actores privados (plataformas). La responsabilidad de proteger los derechos de la ciudadanía corresponde a las primeras. Sin embargo, estas deberían disponer de los recursos suficientes, tanto económicos como de personal, para cumplir con sus misiones (como ejemplo, véase la reclamación en este sentido de la actual Directora de la Agencia Española de Protección de Datos el pasado 11 de junio de 2020: https://www.lavanguardia.com/vida/20200611/481715819539/la-directora-de-la-agencia-de-proteccion-datos-no-se-presentara-a-reeleccion.html). No se puede privatizar el "rule of law".

10 What would be, in your view, appropriate and proportionate measures for online platforms to take in relation to activities or content which might cause harm but are not necessarily illegal?

5	1000 character(s) maximum

11 In particular, are there specific measures you would find appropriate and proportionate for online platforms to take in relation to potentially harmful activities or content concerning minors? Please explain.

5	000 character(s) maximum

12 Please rate the necessity of the following measures for addressing the spread of disinformation online. Please rate from 1 (not at all necessary) to 5 (essential) each option below.

1 (not at all necessary	2	3 (neutral)	4	5 (essential)	I don't know / No answer
-------------------------	---	----------------	---	------------------	-----------------------------------

Transparently inform consumers about political advertising and sponsored content, in particular during election periods Provide users with tools to flag disinformation online and establishing transparent procedures for dealing with user complaints Tackle the use of fake-accounts, fake engagements, bots and inauthentic users behaviour aimed at amplifying false or misleading narratives Transparency tools and secure access to platform data for trusted researchers in order to monitor inappropriate behaviour and better understand the impact of disinformation and the policies designed to counter it Transparency tools and secure access to platform data for authorities in order to monitor inappropriate behaviour and better understand the impact of disinformation and the policies designed to counter it Adapted risk assessments and mitigation strategies undertaken by online platforms Ensure effective access and visibility of a variety of authentic and professional journalistic sources Auditing systems for platform actions and risk assessments Regulatory oversight and auditing competence over platforms' actions and risk assessments, including on sufficient resources and staft, and responsible examination of metrics and capacities related to take accounts and their impact on the manipulation and amplification of disinformation. Other (please specify)							
disinformation online and establishing transparent procedures for dealing with user complaints Tackle the use of fake-accounts, fake engagements, bots and inauthentic users behaviour aimed at amplifying false or misleading narratives Transparency tools and secure access to platform data for trusted researchers in order to monitor inappropriate behaviour and better understand the impact of disinformation and the policies designed to counter it Transparency tools and secure access to platform data for authorities in order to monitor inappropriate behaviour and better understand the impact of disinformation and the policies designed to counter it Adapted risk assessments and mitigation strategies undertaken by online platforms Ensure effective access and visibility of a variety of authentic and professional journalistic sources Auditing systems for platform actions and risk assessments. Regulatory oversight and auditing competence over platforms' actions and risk assessments. Regulatory access and staff, and responsible examination of metrics and capacities related to fake accounts and their impact on the manipulation and amplification of disinformation.	about political advertising and sponsored content, in particular during	0	0	©	0	•	0
engagements, bots and inauthentic users behaviour aimed at amplifying false or misleading narratives Transparency tools and secure access to platform data for trusted researchers in order to monitor inappropriate behaviour and better understand the impact of disinformation and the policies designed to counter it Transparency tools and secure access to platform data for authorities in order to monitor inappropriate behaviour and better understand the impact of disinformation and the policies designed to counter it Adapted risk assessments and mitigation strategies undertaken by online platforms Ensure effective access and visibility of a variety of authentic and professional journalistic sources Auditing systems for platform actions and risk assessments, including on sufficient resources and staff, and responsible examination of metrics and capacities related to fake accounts and their impact on the manipulation and amplification of disinformation.	disinformation online and establishing transparent procedures for dealing	0	0	0	•	0	0
access to platform data for trusted researchers in order to monitor inappropriate behaviour and better understand the impact of disinformation and the policies designed to counter it Transparency tools and secure access to platform data for authorities in order to monitor inappropriate behaviour and better understand the impact of disinformation and the policies designed to counter it Adapted risk assessments and mitigation strategies undertaken by online platforms Ensure effective access and visibility of a variety of authentic and professional journalistic sources Auditing systems for platform actions and risk assessments. Regulatory oversight and auditing competence over platforms' actions and risk assessments, including on sufficient resources and staff, and responsible examination of metrics and capacities related to fake accounts and their impact on the manipulation and amplification of disinformation.	engagements, bots and inauthentic users behaviour aimed at amplifying	0	0	•	0	0	0
access to platform data for authorities in order to monitor inappropriate behaviour and better understand the impact of disinformation and the policies designed to counter it Adapted risk assessments and mitigation strategies undertaken by online platforms Ensure effective access and visibility of a variety of authentic and professional journalistic sources Auditing systems for platform actions and risk assessments Regulatory oversight and auditing competence over platforms' actions and risk assessments, including on sufficient resources and staff, and responsible examination of metrics and capacities related to fake accounts and their impact on the manipulation and amplification of disinformation.	access to platform data for trusted researchers in order to monitor inappropriate behaviour and better understand the impact of disinformation and the policies	•	0	•	0	•	•
mitigation strategies undertaken by online platforms Ensure effective access and visibility of a variety of authentic and professional journalistic sources Auditing systems for platform actions and risk assessments Regulatory oversight and auditing competence over platforms' actions and risk assessments, including on sufficient resources and staff, and responsible examination of metrics and capacities related to fake accounts and their impact on the manipulation and amplification of disinformation.	access to platform data for authorities in order to monitor inappropriate behaviour and better understand the impact of disinformation and the	0	0	•	0	©	0
of a variety of authentic and professional journalistic sources Auditing systems for platform actions and risk assessments Regulatory oversight and auditing competence over platforms' actions and risk assessments, including on sufficient resources and staff, and responsible examination of metrics and capacities related to fake accounts and their impact on the manipulation and amplification of disinformation.	mitigation strategies undertaken by	0	•	0	0	0	0
and risk assessments Regulatory oversight and auditing competence over platforms' actions and risk assessments, including on sufficient resources and staff, and responsible examination of metrics and capacities related to fake accounts and their impact on the manipulation and amplification of disinformation.	of a variety of authentic and	•	0	0	0	0	0
competence over platforms' actions and risk assessments, including on sufficient resources and staff, and responsible examination of metrics and capacities related to fake accounts and their impact on the manipulation and amplification of disinformation.		0	0	©	0	0	0
Other (please specify)	competence over platforms' actions and risk assessments, including on sufficient resources and staff, and responsible examination of metrics and capacities related to fake accounts and their impact on the manipulation and amplification of	•	0	©	0	•	©
	Other (please specify)	0	0	0	0	•	0

13 Please specify

Pregunta 3: Sobre el uso de bots, no se trata de perseguir o prohibir sino de transparencia. Debemos ser informados de si el interlocutor es un humano o una algoritmo.

Preguntas 4-5: Los datos en general deben ser agregados y anonimizados de forma impecable y accesibles de forma transparente, no solo para las autoridades o investigadores, sino todo el mundo. Cuanto al acceso por parte de autoridades e investigadores, el acceso debería tener lugar dentro de los límites de la normativa de protección de datos y de mandatos judiciales y no está claro como puede considerarse si un investigador es de confianza o no (p. ej. Cambridge Analytica).

Pregunta 6: Sobre los Análisis de Riesgos por parte de las plataformas, estos deberían ser llevados a cabo por personal independiente y externo a las mismas.

Aquí otra vez hay un sesgo peligroso que tiende a culpar las y los usuarios rasos y no los reales promotores de desinformación que invierten dinero en viralizar su mensaje.

En las plataformas y TODAS las INVERSIONES en comunicación e información online Y offline, se debe deben hacer dos categorías: quienes (des)informan invirtiendo dinero y quienes lo hacen porque es su opinión (libertad de expresión). La clave es la transparencia de las inversiones y de los procedimientos algorítmicos. Ej. No se trata de prohibir los bots sino de que seamos conscientes si el que se comunica es un bot y quién y cuánto se ha pagado para ello. La desinformación no se genera única ni principalmente en la redes social. No pueden haber leyes excepcionales (de estado de excepción) en la Red que no se apliquen offline.

Lo explicamos pormenorizadamente en nuestro libro #FakeYou https://xnet-x.net/informe-fake-news-desinformacion/ y en nuestras recomendaciones legislativas (https://xnet-x.net/ley-fakeyou/)
Proponemos un etiquetado neutral como con la comida de toda información de circule y sea sustentada por una inversión o sea institucional. Con especial atención a la información de partidos políticos y sus redes clientelares.

14 In special cases, where crises emerge and involve systemic threats to society, such as a health pandemic, and fast-spread of illegal and harmful activities online, what are, in your view, the appropriate cooperation mechanisms between digital services and authorities?

3000 character(s) maximum

Si se aplican las medidas indicadas en las cuestiones anteriores, no seria preciso aplicar medidas extraordinarias.

Debemos recordar que las libertades de expresión e información no deben recortarse ni minorarse en tiempos de crisis y por ello es preciso que toda medida que se prevea debe ser la estrictamente exigida y requerida por la situación concreta.

15 What would be effective measures service providers should take, in your view, for protecting the freedom of expression of their users? Please rate from 1 (not at all necessary) to 5 (essential).

	1 (not at all necessary)	2	3 (neutral)	4	5 (essential)	I don't know / No answer
--	--------------------------------	---	----------------	---	------------------	-----------------------------------

High standards of transparency on their terms of service and removal decisions	0	0	0	0	•	0
Diligence in assessing the content notified to them for removal or blocking	©	0	0	0	•	0
Maintaining an effective complaint and redress mechanism	©	0	0	0	•	0
Diligence in informing users whose content/goods/services was removed or blocked or whose accounts are threatened to be suspended	©	0	0	0	•	•
High accuracy and diligent control mechanisms, including human oversight, when automated tools are deployed for detecting, removing or demoting content or suspending users' accounts	©	0	•	0	•	•
Enabling third party insight – e.g. by academics – of main content moderation systems	0	0	•	0	0	0
Other. Please specify	©	0	0	0	•	0

16 Please explain.

3000 character(s) maximum

No solo es importante la transparencia en relación con la retirada de contenidos sino también lo es en relación con la información que se encuentra en dichas plataformas y los gastos/cobros realizados para su difusión. Ýeber de verificación: hasta ahora solo aplicado por parte de profesionales de los medios de comunicación, debería exigirse su aplicación a estos y otros actores de denotada influencia y para los que la información sea negocio (partidos, negocios relacionados con la información, administraciones e instituciones, etc.), incluso cuando se trate de publicidad. Pn el mismo sentido, es importante proporcionar herramientas a la ciudadanía para poder permitir la verificación por parte de aquellos a quién se dirige la información, etiquetando, de forma similar al etiquetado alimentario, la información que se difunde. Para más información, véase: https://xnet-x.net/ley-fakeyou/

17 Are there other concerns and mechanisms to address risks to other fundamental rights such as freedom of assembly, non-discrimination, gender equality, freedom to conduct a business, or rights of the child? How could these be addressed?

5	00 character(s) maximum

18 In your view, what information should online platforms make available in relation to their policy and measures taken with regard to content and goods offered by their users? Please elaborate, with regard to the identification of illegal content and goods, removal, blocking or demotion of content or goods offered, complaints mechanisms and reinstatement, the format and frequency of such information, and who can access the information.

5000 character(s) maximum

No debe incentivarse la implantación de mecanismos automatizados de supresión de contenidos. Pueden contribuir a la detección y a la mediación, pero no puede haber censura previa automatizada.

19 What type of information should be shared with users and/or competent authorities and other third parties such as trusted researchers with regard to the use of automated systems used by online platforms to detect, remove and/or block illegal content, goods, or user accounts?

5000 character(s) maximum

No debe incentivarse la implantación de mecanismos automatizados de supresión de contenidos.

20 In your view, what measures are necessary with regard to algorithmic recommender systems used by online platforms?

5000 character(s) maximum

Las acciones y protocolos que se establezcan para los algoritmos deben ser públicos. Deben poder ser leídos por especialistas y en versión explicada para el público en general.

21 In your view, is there a need for enhanced data sharing between online platforms and authorities, within the boundaries set by the General Data Protection Regulation? Please select the appropriate situations, in your view:

- For supervisory purposes concerning professional users of the platform e. g. in the context of platform intermediated services such as accommodation or ride-hailing services, for the purpose of labour inspection, for the purpose of collecting tax or social security contributions
- For supervisory purposes of the platforms' own obligations e.g. with regard to content moderation obligations, transparency requirements, actions taken in electoral contexts and against inauthentic behaviour and foreign interference
- Specific request of law enforcement authority or the judiciary
- On a voluntary and/or contractual basis in the public interest or for other purposes

for companies, consumers or other third parties?
5000 character(s) maximum
23 What types of sanctions would be effective, dissuasive and proportionate for
online platforms which systematically fail to comply with their obligations (See also
the last module of the consultation)?
5000 character(s) maximum
24 Are there other points you would like to raise?
3000 character(s) maximum

22 Please explain. What would be the benefits? What would be concerns

II. Reviewing the liability regime of digital services acting as intermediaries?

The liability of online intermediaries is a particularly important area of internet law in Europe and worldwide. The E-Commerce Directive harmonises the liability exemptions applicable to online intermediaries in the single market, with specific provisions for different services according to their role: from Internet access providers and messaging services to hosting service providers.

The previous section of the consultation explored obligations and responsibilities which online platforms and other services can be expected to take – i.e. processes they should put in place to address illegal activities which might be conducted by users abusing their service. In this section, the focus is on the legal architecture for the liability regime for service providers when it comes to illegal activities conducted by their users. The Commission seeks informed views on hos the current liability exemption regime is working and the areas where an update might be necessary.

2 The liability regime for online intermediaries is primarily established in the E-Commerce Directive, which distinguishes between different types of services: so called 'mere conduits', 'caching services', and 'hosting services'.

In your understanding, are these categories sufficiently clear and complete for characterising and regulating today's digital intermediary services? Please explain.

5000 character(s) maximum

El régimen previsto en los artículos 12 a 15 de la directiva e-commerce actualmente no garantiza una protección adecuada de los derechos humanos de los usuarios establecidos por la Carta de Derechos Fundamentales de la UE, en especial las libertades de expresión e información y el derecho a la privacidad y a la protección de datos personales, y el ordenamiento jurídico. Tampoco aporta suficiente seguridad jurídica para los intermediarios.

Los intermediarios que solo almacenan o transmiten los datos de los usuarios no deberían ser hechos responsables del contenido que almacenan y/o transmiten. Esta responsabilidad debe restringirse para

aquellos casos en que incumplan una orden judicial que disponga que dicho contenido es efectivamente ilícito y debe retirarse.

No debe obligarse a estos a actuar "de oficio" ellos mismos para juzgar y retirar el contenido supuestamente ilícito ni monitorear contenido por ley.

Por otra parte muchos proveedores de servicio y grandes empresas telefónicas, obedecen a los mandatos judiciales sobre un usuario sin informarle incluso cuando no se indica en el mandato judicial. Esta vulneración de derechos debería ser ilícita y gravemente sancionada.

For hosting services, the liability exemption for third parties' content or activities is conditioned by a knowledge standard (i.e. when they get 'actual knowledge' of the illegal activities, they must 'act expeditiously' to remove it, otherwise they could be found liable).

3 Are there aspects that require further legal clarification?

5000 character(s) maximum

El término "conocimiento efectivo" es demasiado ambiguo y conlleva demasiasa incertidumbre.

Unificar la legislación sectorial y códigos de conducta en lo referido a la exención de responsabilidad, aplicandola a todo tipo de intermediarios del modo definido en la pregunta anterior.

4 Does the current legal framework dis-incentivize service providers to take proactive measures against illegal activities? If yes, please provide your view on how disincentives could be corrected.

5000 character(s) maximum

El ordenamiento jurídico actual puede desincentivar los proveedores de servicio a emprender medidas proactivas para prevenir el contenido ilegal si se considera que emprenderlas significa que tienen "conocimiento efectivo" de la existencia de dicho contenido. La DSA debería clarificar que cualquier medida emprendida por parte de los intermediarios no constituye automáticamente "conocimiento efectivo" y por tanto no son directamente responsables al emprenderlas.

Por otra parte como ya hemos comentado, el enfoque correcto no es que se deje en manos de los proveedores de servicio la RESPONSABILIDAD de la eliminación de contenido.

5 Do you think that the concept characterising intermediary service providers as playing a role of a 'mere technical, automatic and passive nature' in the transmission of information (recital 42 of the E-Commerce Directive) is sufficiently clear and still valid? Please explain.

5000 character(s) maximum

Es un término que ya no coincide con la realidad y que debe superarse la división entre intermediarios activos y pasivos y enfocarlo a los servicios ofrecidos por los intermediarios y la aplicación efectiva de obligaciones como la transparencia, privacidad y protección de datos.

6 The E-commerce Directive also prohibits Member States from imposing on intermediary service providers general monitoring obligations or obligations to seek facts or circumstances of illegal activities conducted on their service by their users.

In your view, is this approach, balancing risks to different rights and policy objectives, still appropriate today? Is there further clarity needed as to the parameters for 'general monitoring obligations'? Please explain.

5000 character(s) maximum

No se puede decir que los proveedores sean responsables a menos que tenga "conocimiento" por mandato de la autoridad de un delito. Los terminos y condiciones son contratos privados que no pueden sustituir las leyes.

No se puede imponer el general monitoring.

7 Do you see any other points where an upgrade may be needed for the liab	oility
regime of digital services acting as intermediaries?	

5	000 character(s) maximum

III. What issues derive from the gatekeeper power of digital platforms?

There is wide consensus concerning the benefits for consumers and innovation, and a wide-range of efficiencies, brought about by online platforms in the European Union's Single Market. Online platforms facilitate cross-border trading within and outside the EU and open entirely new business opportunities to a variety of European businesses and traders by facilitating their expansion and access to new markets. At the same time, regulators and experts around the world consider that large online platforms are able to control increasingly important online platform ecosystems in the digital economy. Such large online platforms connect many businesses and consumers. In turn, this enables them to leverage their advantages – economies of scale, network effects and important data assets- in one area of their activity to improve or develop new services in adjacent areas. The concentration of economic power in then platform economy creates a small number of 'winner-takes it all/most' online platforms. The winner online platforms can also readily take over (potential) competitors and it is very difficult for an existing competitor or potential new entrant to overcome the winner's competitive edge.

The Commission <u>announced</u> that it 'will further explore, in the context of the Digital Services Act package, ex ante rules to ensure that markets characterised by large platforms with significant network effects acting as gatekeepers, remain fair and contestable for innovators, businesses, and new market entrants'. This module of the consultation seeks informed views from all stakeholders on this framing, on the scope, the specific perceived problems, and the implications, definition and parameters for addressing possible issues deriving from the economic power of large, gatekeeper platforms.

<u>The Communication 'Shaping Europe's Digital Future'</u> also flagged that 'competition policy alone cannot address all the systemic problems that may arise in the platform economy'. Stakeholders are invited to provide their views on potential new competition instruments through a separate, dedicated open public consultation that will be launched soon.

In parallel, the Commission is also engaged in a process of reviewing EU competition rules and ensuring they are fit for the modern economy and the digital age. As part of that process, the Commission has launched a consultation on the proposal for a New Competition Tool aimed at addressing the gaps identified in enforcing competition rules. The initiative intends to address as specific objectives the structural competition problems that prevent markets from functioning properly and that can tilt the level playing field in favour of only a few market players. This could cover certain digital or digitally-enabled

markets, as identified in the report by the Special Advisers and other recent reports on the role of competition policy, and/or other sectors. As such, the work on a proposed new competition tool and the initiative at stake complement each other. The work on the two impact assessments will be conducted in parallel in order to ensure a coherent outcome. In this context, the Commission will take into consideration the feedback received from both consultations. We would therefore invite you, in preparing your responses to the questions below, to also consider your response to the parallel consultation on a new competition tool

1 To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

	Fully agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree not disagree	Somewhat disagree	Fully disagree	I don't know/ No reply
Consumers have sufficient choices and alternatives to the offerings from online platforms.	0	0	0	0	•	0
It is easy for consumers to switch between services provided by online platform companies and use same or similar services provider by other online platform companies ("multi-home").	•	•	•	•	•	•
It is easy for individuals to port their data in a useful manner to alternative service providers outside of an online platform.	©	©	•	©	•	0
There is sufficient level of interoperability between services of different online platform companies.	0	0	0	0	•	0
There is an asymmetry of information between the knowledge of online platforms about consumers, which enables them to target them with commercial offers, and the knowledge of consumers about market conditions.	•	•	•	•	©	•
It is easy for innovative SME online platforms to expand or enter the market.	0	0	0	0	•	0

Traditional businesses are increasingly dependent on a limited number of very large online platforms.	•	0	•	•	0	•
There are imbalances in the bargaining power between these online platforms and their business users.	•	•	0	0	•	•
Businesses and consumers interacting with these online platforms are often asked to accept unfavourable conditions and clauses in the terms of use/contract with the online platforms.	•	•	©	©	•	•
Certain large online platform companies create barriers to entry and expansion in the Single Market (gatekeepers).	•	•	0	•	•	0
Large online platforms often leverage their assets from their primary activities (customer base, data, technological solutions, skills, financial capital) to expand into other activities.	•	•	•	•	•	•
When large online platform companies expand into such new activities, this often poses a risk of reducing innovation and deterring competition from smaller innovative market operators.	•	•	©	©	©	•

Main features of gatekeeper online platform companies and the main criteria for assessing their economic power

1 Which characteristics are relevant in determining the gatekeeper role of large online platform companies? Please rate each criterion identified below from 1 (not relevant) to 5 (very relevant):

Large user base	

They capture a large share of total revenue of the market you are active/of a sector	
Impact on a certain sector	
They build on and exploit strong network effects	
They leverage their assets for entering new areas of activity	
They raise barriers to entry for competitors	
They accumulate valuable and diverse data and information	
There are very few, if any, alternative services available on the market	
Lock-in of users/consumers	
Other	

2 If you replied "other", please list

3000 character(s) maximum						

3 Please explain your answer. How could different criteria be combined to accurately identify large online platform companies with gatekeeper role?

3000 character(s) maximum					

4 Do you believe that the integration of any or all of the following activities within a single company can strengthen the gatekeeper role of large online platform companies ('conglomerate effect')? Please select the activities you consider to steengthen the gatekeeper role:

- online intermediation services (i.e. consumer-facing online platforms such as e-commerce marketplaces, social media, mobile app stores, etc., as per Reg ulation (EU) 2019/1150 see glossary)
- search engines
- operating systems for smart devices
- consumer reviews on large online platforms
- network and/or data infrastructure/cloud services
- digital identity services
- payment services (or other financial services)
- physical logistics such as product fulfilment services
- data management platforms
- online advertising intermediation services
- other. Please specify in the text box below.

5 Other - please list

1000 character(s) maximum

Todos los tipos de sistemas operativos y no solo aquellos destinados a dispositivos inteligentes deberían ser considerados;

Creación o agregación de información o contenido online;

Herramientas y contenidos para educación online;

Para evitar posiciones monopolísticas, se ha de observar sobre todo la compra agresiva de competidores y los jardines cerrados que impiden a los usuarios usar otras herramientas.

Emerging issues

The following questions are targeted particularly at businesses and business users of large online platform companies.

2 As a business user of large online platforms, do you encounter issues concerning trading conditions on large online platform companies?

Yes	•
1 00	

3 Please specify which issues you encounter and please explain to what types of platform these are related to (e.g. e-commerce marketplaces, app stores, search engines, operating systems, social networks).
5000 character(s) maximum
4 Have you been affected by unfair contractual terms or unfair practices of very large online platform companies? Please explain your answer in detail, pointing to the effects on your business, your consumers and possibly other stakeholders in the short, medium and long-term?
5000 character(s) maximum
The following questions are targeted particularly at consumers who are users of large online platform companies.
6 Do you encounter issues concerning commercial terms and conditions when accessing services provided by large online platform companies? Please specify which issues you encounter and please explain to what types of platform these are related to (e.g. e-commerce marketplaces, app stores, search engines, operating systems, social networks). 5000 character(s) maximum
7 Have you considered any of the practices by large online platform companies as unfair? Please explain. 3000 character(s) maximum
The following questions are open to all respondents.
9 Are there specific issues and unfair practices you perceive on large online
platform companies? 5000 character(s) maximum

En general: falta de consentimiento efectivo de los usuarios mediante términos y condiciones opacos, poco comprensibles y/o extensos además de patrones y diseños opacos ("dark patterns") que desincentivan el ejercicio efectivo de sus derechos por parte de los usuarios.

- En concreto, Facebook obliga a los usuarios a dar su consentimiento para la recogida y análisis de datos personales particularmente intrusivos y a combinar sus datos de distintos servicios de su propiedad (Whatsapp e Instagram) en un único perfil que luego se comercializa a anunciantes, por tanto utilizando su posición dominante para consolidar su poder en el mercado de los datos y publicidad online.

En general: Las grandes plataformas e intermediarios abusan de su posición para aplicar prácticas para asegurar su posición de dominación en el mercado, tanto cuanto a usuarios como competidores.

- En concreto, Apple impide la instalación de fuentes de software alternativas en sus dispositivos de iOS, abusando de su poder en el mercado como fabricante de dispositivos y sistemas operativos para controlar el software que pueden utilizar las y los usuarios.
- Del mismo modo, Alphabet obliga contractualmente a los fabricantes de smartphones a instalar el conjunto de aplicaciones de Google (Gmail, Maps, Play, etc.) y prohíbe la preinstalación de cualquier aplicación de la competencia.
- Finalmente, Facebook hace imposible que otras redes sociales interoperen con su red social para suprimir cualquier posibilidad de competencia.

10 In your view, what practices related to the use and sharing of data in the platforms' environment are raising particular challenges?

5000 character(s) maximum

3000 character(s) maximum

- Microtargeting, no solo publicitario, mediante el uso de datos personales, incluso categorías especiales de datos, y sistemas algorítmicos.
- Prácticas de moderación de contenido poco transparentes.

11	What im	pact wou	uld the	identified	unfair	practices	can	have	on	innova	ation,
cor	mpetition	and con	sumer	choice in	the sir	ngle marke	et?				

12	Do startups or scaleups depend on large online platform companies to access
or	expand? Do you observe any trend as regards the level of dependency in the
las	st five years (i.e. increases; remains the same; decreases)? Which difficulties in
yo	ur view do start-ups or scale-ups face when they depend on large online platform
СО	mpanies to access or expand on the markets?
30	000 character(s) maximum

13 Which are possible positive and negative societal (e.g. on freedom of expression, consumer protection, media plurality) and economic (e.g. on market contestability, innovation) effects, if any, of the gatekeeper role that large online platform companies exercise over whole platform ecosystem?

3000 character(s) maximum

- Impide la leal y libre competencia y reduce la diversidad de plataformas y servicios de la sociedad de la información, impidiendo al mismo tiempo la innovación para prevenir dicha competencia.
- Centralización de las fuentes de información y comunicación; censura y moderación de contenido por parte de las plataformas con mayor o menor sesgo político.

14 Which issues specific to the media sector (if any) would, in your view, need to be addressed in light of the gatekeeper role of large online platforms? If available, please provide additional references, data and facts.

3000 character(s) maximum

Lo explicamos pormenorizadamente en nuestras recomendaciones legales contra la desinformación que pedimos se tomen en consideración: https://xnet-x.net/ley-fakeyou/

Toda comunicacón que pretenda considerarse información profesional amparada por el legítimo ejercicio de la libertad de información o relacionadas con un pago/cobro (negocio) debe incorporar -para gozar de una presunción simple de veracidad- protocolos de verificación en su proceso de elaboración por los sujetos que se encuentren indicados abajo. Estos protocolos de verificación son considerados el estándar mínimo de diligencia profesional que un informador debe respetar para poder difundir información veraz. SUJETOS:

A.1.- Información que circula mediante pago y cobro = patrocinio:

Cualquier persona física o jurídica que invierta cualquier cantidad de dinero para la viralización (alcanzar un mayor número de personas a las que no llegaría sin inversión económica) de una información.

Cualquier persona física o jurídica que perciba cualquier cantidad de dinero para la viralización (alcanzar un mayor número de personas a las que no llegaría sin inversión económica) de una información, por ejemplo, redes sociales, buscadores, espacios publicitarios, medios de comunicación...

La simple comunicación pública sin ulterior inversión no se considera viralización. No se incluyen los prestadores de servicio de la sociedad de la información que ofrezcan espacios para la simple comunicación pública (blog, página web) sin prestaciones ulteriores de segmentación de publico o viralización.

Queda excluida de esta definición cualquier tipo de expresión artística.

A.2.- Medios de Comunicación:

Los que se presentan como tales o tienen intención y apariencia de actuar como un medio, tengan sus prerrogativas, derechos o privilegios. Las estructuras que tiene como objetivo prioritario el ejercicio del periodismo entendido como la redacción y difusión de informaciones verídicas.

A.3.- Empresas y profesionales de la comunicación:

Personas físicas o jurídicas cuya actividad sea la producción, preparación, planificación, desarrollo, gestión y colocación de informaciones por cuenta de terceros, o asesoramiento para la realización de dichas actividades, con el objetivo de difundirlas a un público específico, con o sin el uso de herramientas de gestión de contenidos, la analítica web o la comunicación técnica. Incluye las plataformas de contenido online como Facebook.

B.- Instituciones y partidos políticos

odo ello además evitará que las plataformas decidan con sesgo propio qué medios son de fiar y cuáles no, cosa que produce riesgo de discriminación de la información y periodismo independiente y de monopolio informativo, vulnerando la libertad de expresión e información.

Regulation of large online platform companies acting as gatekeepers
1 Do you believe that in order to address any negative societal and economic effects of the gatekeeper role that large online platform companies exercise over whole platform ecosystems, there is a need to consider dedicated regulatory rules? I fully agree I agree to a certain extent I disagree to a certain extent I disagree I don't know
2 Please explain 3000 character(s) maximum
La DSA debería prever medidas de interoperabilidad entre servicios y plataformas para incentivar la competencia y la posibilidad de elección de los usuarios, así como facilitar y clarificar como debe llevarse a cabo la portabilidad de datos entre plataformas y servicios (datos objeto de portabilidad y circunstancias). Sin embargo, estas medidas no deberían causar abusos de dicha interoperabilidad, garantizando la transparencia, la seguridad y la privacidad de los usuarios, en particular los principios de minimización de datos y de privacidad desde el diseño y por defecto.
3 Do you believe that such dedicated rules should prohibit certain practices by large online platform companies with gatekeeper role that are considered particularly harmful for users and consumers of these large online platforms? Yes No I don't know
4 Please explain your reply and, if possible, detail the types of prohibitions that should in your view be part of the regulatory toolbox. 3000 character(s) maximum
Deben prohibirse las medidas emprendidas por parte de las plataformas que limiten la interoperabilidad propuesta en las preguntas anteriores.

5 Do you believe that such dedicated rules should include obligations on large online platform companies with gatekeeper role?

No
I don't know
6 Please explain your reply and, if possible, detail the types of obligations that should in your view be part of the regulatory toolbox. 3000 character(s) maximum
Deben prohibirse las medidas emprendidas por parte de las plataformas que limiten la interoperabilidad propuesta en las preguntas anteriores y obligarlas a tomar dichas medidas.
7 If you consider that there is a need for such dedicated rules setting prohibitions and obligations, as those referred to in your replies to questions 3 and 5 above, do you think there is a need for a specific regulatory authority to enforce these rules? Yes No I don't know
8 Please explain your reply. 3000 character(s) maximum
9 Do you believe that such dedicated rules should enable regulatory intervention against specific large online platform companies, when necessary, with a case by case adapted remedies? Yes No I don't know
10 If yes, please explain your reply and, if possible, detail the types of case by case remedies. 3000 character(s) maximum
RGPD como ejemplo de las sanciones imponibles, pero es preciso que se asegure la efectividad de las medidas dotando a las autoridades competentes de mayores dotaciones y imponiéndoles la obligación de actuar proactivamente frente a los grandes actores sistémicos y no solo a raíz de denuncias.

11 If you consider that there is a need for such dedicated rules, as referred to in

question 9 above, do you think there is a need for a specific regulatory authority to

Yes

enforce these rules?

○ No
12 Please explain your reply 3000 character(s) maximum
13 If you consider that there is a need for a specific regulatory authority to enforce dedicated rules referred to questions 3, 5 and 9 respectively, would in your view these rules need to be enforced by the same regulatory authority or could they be enforced by different regulatory authorities? Please explain your reply. 3000 character(s) maximum
14 At what level should the regulatory oversight of platforms be organised?
At national level
At EU level
Both at EU and national level.
I don't know
15 If you consider such dedicated rules necessary, what should in your view be the relationship of such rules with the existing sector specific rules and/or any future sector specific rules? 3000 character(s) maximum
16 Should such rules have an objective to tackle both negative societal and
negative economic effects deriving from the gatekeeper role of these very large
online platforms? Please explain your reply. 3000 character(s) maximum
Sí, ambas consideraciones deberían tenerse en cuenta para proteger tanto la competencia como los derechos de los usuarios y consumidores. Sin embargo, es preciso definir ambos conceptos de forma específica para dotar la DSA de seguridad jurídica.

17 Specifically, what could be effective measures related to data held by very large

General Data Protection Regulation in order to promote competition and innovation

online platform companies with a gatekeeper role beyond those laid down in the

as well as a high standard of personal data protection and consumer welfare?

Yes

42

La DSA debería prever medidas de interoperabilidad entre servicios y plataformas para incentivar la competencia y la posibilidad de elección de los usuarios, así como facilitar y clarificar como debe llevarse a cabo la portabilidad de datos entre plataformas y servicios (datos objeto de portabilidad y circunstancias). Sin embargo, estas medidas no deberían causar abusos de dicha interoperabilidad, garantizando la transparencia, la seguridad y la privacidad de los usuarios, en particular los principios de minimización de datos y de privacidad desde el diseño y por defecto.

18 What could be effective measures concerning large online platform companies with a gatekeeper role in order to promote media pluralism, while respecting the subsidiarity principle?

3000 character(s) maximum

Véase respuesta a la pregunta 14 del apartado de "emerging issues" del punto "III. What issues derive from the gatekeeper power of digital platforms?", sobre el hecho de que las plataformas no pueden ser los árbitros de qué medios de comunicación son de confianza y cuales no.

19 Which, if any, of the following characteristics are relevant when considering the requirements for a potential regulatory authority overseeing the large online platform companies with the gatekeeper role:

Institutional cooperation with other authorities addressing related sectors - e
g. competition authorities, data protection authorities, financial services
authorities, consumer protection authorities, cyber security, etc.
Pan-EU scope
Swift and effective cross-border cooperation and assistance across Member
States
Capacity building within Member States
High level of technical capabilities including data processing, auditing
capacities
Cooperation with extra-EU jurisdictions
Other

21 Please explain if these characteristics would need to be different depending on the type of ex ante rules (see questions 3, 5, 9 above) that the regulatory authority would be enforcing?

3000 character(s) max	ximum		

22 Which, if any, of the following requirements and tools could facilitate regulatory oversight over very large online platform companies (multiple answers possible):

- Reporting obligation on gatekeeping platforms to send a notification to a public authority announcing its intention to expand activities
- Monitoring powers for the public authority (such as regular reporting)
- Investigative powers for the public authority
- Other

23 Other - please list

3000 character(s) maximum

Asegurarse de que la governanza algorítmica y los datos debidamente agregados y anonimizados sean accesibles al público en condiciones de seguridad para los derechos fundamentales con protocolos abiertos (open-data).

24 Please explain if these requirements would need to be different depending on the type of ex ante rules (see questions 3, 5, 9 above) that the regulatory authority would be enforcing?

3	2000 character(s) maximum	

25 Taking into consideration the parallel consultation on a proposal for a New Competition Tool focusing on addressing structural competition problems that prevent markets from functioning properly and tilt the level playing field in favour of only a few market players. Please rate the suitability of each option below to address market issues arising in online platforms ecosystems. Please rate the policy options below from 1 (not effective) to 5 (most effective).

	1 (not effective)	2 (somewhat effective)	3 (sufficiently effective)	4 (very effective)	5 (most effective)	Not applicable /No relevant experience or knowledge
Current competition rules are enough to address issues raised in digital markets	•	0	0	0	0	0
2. There is a need for an additional regulatory framework imposing obligations and prohibitions that are generally applicable to all large online platforms with gatekeeper power	0	0	0	•	0	•
3. There is a need for an additional regulatory framework allowing for the possibility to impose tailored remedies on individual large online platforms with gatekeeper power, on a case-by-case basis	0	0	0	•	0	0
4. There is a need for a New Competition Tool allowing to address structural risks and lack of competition in (digital) markets on a case-by-case basis.	0	0	0	0	•	0
5. There is a need for combination of two or more of the options 2 to 4.	0	0	0	0	•	0

26 Please explain which of the options, or combination of these, would be, in your
view, suitable and sufficient to address the market issues arising in the online
platforms ecosystems.

3	3000 character(s) maximum							

27 Are there other points you would like to raise?

3000 character(s) maximum

La transparencia y la interoperabilidad son enfoques que ayudarán ampliamente a evitar tendencias monopolísticas y permitir que más actores puedan participar en el mercado.

IV. Other emerging issues and opportunities, including online advertising and smart contracts

Online advertising has substantially evolved over the recent years and represents a major revenue source for many digital services, as well as other businesses present online, and opens unprecedented opportunities for content creators, publishers, etc. To a large extent, maximising revenue streams and optimising online advertising are major business incentives for the business users of the online platforms and for shaping the data policy of the platforms. At the same time, revenues from online advertising as well as increased visibility and audience reach are also a major incentive for potentially harmful intentions, e.g. in online disinformation campaigns.

Another emerging issue is linked to the conclusion of 'smart contracts' which represent an important innovation for digital and other services, but face some legal uncertainties.

This section of the open public consultation seeks to collect data, information on current practices, and informed views on potential issues emerging in the area of online advertising and smart contracts. Respondents are invited to reflect on other areas where further measures may be needed to facilitate innovation in the single market. This module does not address privacy and data protection concerns; all aspects related to data sharing and data collection are to be afforded the highest standard of personal data protection.

Online advertising

1 Whe	n you see a	n online ad,	is it clear t	to you who	has placed it	online?
-------	-------------	--------------	---------------	------------	---------------	---------

- Yes, always
- Sometimes: but I can find the information when this is not immediately clear
- Sometimes: but I cannot always find this information
- I don't know
- [⊚] No

2 As a publisher online (e.g. owner of a website where ads are displayed), what types of advertising systems do you use for covering your advertising space? What is their relative importance?

	% of ad space	% of ad revenue
Intermediated programmatic advertising		
though real-time bidding		
Private marketplace auctions		
Programmatic advertising with guaranteed		
impressions (non-auction based)		
Behavioural advertising (micro-targeting)		
Contextual advertising		
Other		

th	at you use?
ć	3000 character(s) maximum
	As a publisher, what type of information do you have about the advertisement aced next to your content/on your website?
Ĵ	8000 character(s) maximum
	To what extent do you find the quality and reliability of this information tisfactory for your purposes?
	Please rate your level of eatisfaction

3 What information is publicly available about ads displayed on an online platform

6 As an advertiser or an agency acting on behalf of the advertiser (if applicable), what types of programmatic advertising do you use to place your ads? What is their relative importance in your ad inventory?

	% of ad inventory	% of ad expenditure
Intermediated programmatic advertising		
though real-time bidding		
Private marketplace auctions		
Programmatic advertising with guaranteed		
impressions (non-auction based)		
Behavioural advertising (micro-targeting)		
Contextual advertising		
Other		

3000 character(s) maximum	
3000 Character(s) maximum	
8 To what extent do you fin satisfactory for your purpos	nd the quality and reliability of this information ses?
Please rate your level of s	atisfaction
The following qu	uestions are targeted specifically at online platforms.
10 As an online platform, w	hat options do your users have with regards to the
•	erved and the grounds on which the ads are being
	access your service through other conditions than
viewing advertisements? P	iease expiain.
The state of the s	
11 Do you publish or share	with researchers, authorities or other third parties
	hed, their sponsors and viewership rates? Please
explain.	
3000 character(s) maximum	
12 What systems do you հ	ave in place for detecting illicit offerings in the ads you
intermediate?	
3000 character(s) maximum	

14 Based on your experience, what actions and good practices can tackle the placement of ads next to illegal content or goods, and/or on websites that disseminate such illegal content or goods, and to remove such illegal content or goods when detected?

3000 character(s) maximum

15 From your perspective, what measures would lead to meaningful transparency in the ad placement process?

3000 character(s) maximum

- Etiquetado de toda información que conlleve pago con la siguiente información visible (sin búsqueda, incrustada en el anuncio): según qué criterio algorítmico pormenorizado has recibido un ads (que perfilado tienen de ti); financiación; si es ads que simula o se refiere a info real -> fuentes concretas y enlace a las mismas, para más detalle, consultar: https://xnet-x.net/ley-fakeyou/).
- Hacer cumplir con mayor exigencia las obligaciones del RGPD en relación con la publicidad, exigiendo modelos de negocio publicitario basados en la privacidad desde el diseño y por defecto, y adoptar medidas similares en el Reglamento e-Privacy para eliminar las prácticas actuales de seguimiento publicitario.
- Transparencia para los usuarios sobre como se personalizan los anuncios y por qué motivo les aparecen, incluyendo el funcionamiento de los algoritmos que colocan los anuncios.
- Análisis de impacto en los derechos humanos en el uso de la Inteligencia Artificial (https://www.accessnow.org/trust-and-excellence-the-eu-is-missing-the-mark-again-on-ai-and-human-rights)
- Prohibir el rastreo o tracking desde el diseño y por defecto practicado actualmente
- Promover y fomentar modelos de negocio libres de seguimiento para la publicidad ("tracking-free ad business models")

16 What information about online ads should be made publicly available? 3000 character(s) maximum
Véase pregunta anterior
17 Based on your expertise, which effective and proportionate auditing systems could bring meaningful accountability in the ad placement system? 3000 character(s) maximum
18 What is, from your perspective, a functional definition of 'political advertising'?
Are you aware of any specific obligations attached to 'political advertising' at national level?
3000 character(s) maximum
10 Mb at information disclosure would be applied with information and relation to

19 What information disclosure would meaningfully inform consumers in relation to political advertising? Are there other transparency standards and actions needed, in your opinion, for an accountable use of political advertising and political messaging?

0000	/		/ 1		
2/1/1/1	chai	ィクハナハド	101	mavi	m_{IIm}
3000	Ullai	aulti	13/	Παλπ	munn

Transparencia sobre la información y los gastos/cobros realizados para su difusión. Ýeber de verificación: hasta ahora solo exigido por parte de profesionales de los medios de comunicación, debería expandirse su aplicación a otros actores de denotada influencia (partidos, negocios relacionados con la información, administraciones e instituciones, etc.), incluso cuando se trate de publicidad. Pn el mismo sentido, es importante proporcionar herramientas a la ciudadanía para poder permitir la verificación por parte de aquellos a quién se dirige la información, etiquetando, de forma similar al etiquetado alimentario, la información que se difunde.

ara más información, véase: https://xnet-x.net/ley-fakeyou/

20 What impact would have, in your view, enhanced transparency and accountability in the online advertising value chain, on the gatekeeper power of major online platforms and other potential consequences such as media pluralism?

3000 character(s) maximum

PUBLICIDAD: MISMA REGULACIÓN ONLINE Y OFFLINE.

Mayor cumplimiento de normas como el RGPD (unificación de los criterios de las Autoridades de Protección de Datos) y respeto de los derechos fundamentales, refuerzo de la confianza de los usuarios, aparición de nuevos modelos de negocio.

21 Are there other emerging issues in the space of online advertising you would like to flag?

3	00 character(s) maximum

Smart contracts

1 Is there sufficient legal clarity in the EU for the provision and use of "smart contracts" – e.g. with regard to validity, applicable law and jurisdiction?

Please rate from 1 (lack of clarity) to 5 (sufficient clarity)



2 Please explain the difficulties you perceive.

3	1000 character(s) maximum	

3 In which of the following areas do you find necessary further regulatory clarity?

- Mutual recognition of the validity of smart contracts in the EU as concluded in accordance with the national law
- Minimum standards for the validity of "smart contracts" in the EU

Measures to ensure that legal obligations and rights flowing from a smart contract and the functioning of the smart contract are clear and unambiguous, in particular for consumers Allowing interruption of smart contracts Clarity on liability for damage caused in the operation of a smart contract Further clarity for payment and currency-related smart contracts.	
4 Please explain.	
3000 character(s) maximum	
5 Are there other points you would like to raise? 3000 character(s) maximum	
V. How to address challenges around the situation of self-employed individuals offering services through online platforms?	
Individuals providing services through platforms may have different legal status (workers or self-employed). This section aims at gathering first information and views on the situation of self-employed individuals offering services through platforms (such as ride-hailing, food delivery, domestic work, design work, microtasks etc.). Furthermore, it seeks to gather first views on whether any detected problems are specific to the platform economy and what would be the perceived obstacles to the improvement of the situation of individuals providing services through platforms. This consultation is not intended to address the criteria by which persons providing services on such platforms are deemed to have one or the other legal status. The issues explored here do not refer to the selling of goods (e.g. online marketplaces) or the sharing of assets (e.g. sub-renting houses) through platforms.	,
The following questions are targeting self-employed individuals offering services through online platforms.	
Relationship with the platform and the final customer	
 1 What type of service do you offer through platforms? Food-delivery Ride-hailing Online translations, design, software development or micro-tasks 	
On-demand cleaning, plumbing or DIY services	

Other, please specify

ease explain.	
3 Which requirements were you asked to fulfill in order to be accepted by the platform(s) you offer services through, if any?	
4 Do you have a contractual relationship with the final customer? Yes	
© No	
5 Do you receive any guidelines or directions by the platform on how to offer your services?	
Yes No	
7 Under what conditions can you stop using the platform to provide your services, or can the platform ask you to stop doing so?	
8 What is your role in setting the price paid by the customer and how is your remuneration established for the services you provide through the platform(s)?	
9 What are the risks and responsibilities you bear in case of non-performance of the service or unsatisfactory performance of the service?	
Situation of self-employed individuals providing services through platforms	
10 What are the main advantages for you when providing services through platforms?	
3000 character(s) maximum	

through platforms? Is the platform taking any measures to improve these? 3000 character(s) maximum
Sood Character(s) maximum
12 Do you ever have problems getting paid for your service? Does/do the platform have any measures to support you in such situations? 3000 character(s) maximum
13 Do you consider yourself in a vulnerable or dependent situation in your work (economically or otherwise), and if yes, why?
14 Can you collectively negotiate vis-à-vis the platform(s) your remuneration or other contractual conditions?
Yes
[™] No
15 Please explain.
The following questions are targeting online platforms.
Role of platforms
17 What is the role of your platform in the provision of the service and the conclusion of the contract with the customer?
18 What are the risks and responsibilities borne by your platform for the non-performance of the service or unsatisfactory provision of the service?
19 What happens when the service is not paid for by the customer/client?

20 Does your platform own any of the assets used by the individual offering the services? Yes No
22 Out of the total number of service providers offering services through your platform, what is the percentage of self-employed individuals? Over 75% Between 50% and 75% Between 25% and 50% Less than 25%
Rights and obligations
23 What is the contractual relationship between the platform and individuals offering services through it? 3000 character(s) maximum
24 Who sets the price paid by the customer for the service offered? The platform The individual offering services through the platform Others, please specify
25 Please explain.
3000 character(s) maximum
26 How is the price paid by the customer shared between the platform and the individual offering the services through the platform? 3000 character(s) maximum
27 On average, how many hours per week do individuals spend offering services through your platform? 3000 character(s) maximum

your platform to contact each other and organise themselves collectively? Yes
No
29 Please describe the means through which the individuals who provide services on your platform contact each other. 3000 character(s) maximum
30 What measures do you have in place for ensuring that individuals offering
services through your platform work legally - e.g. comply with applicable rules on
minimum working age, hold a work permit, where applicable - if any?
(If you replied to this question in your answers in the first module of the
consultation, there is no need to repeat your answer here.) 3000 character(s) maximum
The following avections are sman to all respondents

28 Do you have measures in place to enable individuals providing services through

The following questions are open to all respondents

Situation of self-employed individuals providing services through platforms

32 Are there areas in the situation of individuals providing services through platforms which would need further improvements? Please rate the following issues from 1 (no improvements needed) to 5 (substantial issues need to be addressed).

	1 (no improvements needed)	2	3	4	5 (substantial improvements needed)	I don't know / No answer
Earnings	©	0	0	0	0	0
Flexibility of choosing when and /or where to provide services	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transparency on remuneration	0	0	0	0	0	0
Measures to tackle non-payment of remuneration	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transparency in online ratings	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Ensuring that individuals providing services through platforms can contact each other and organise themselves for collective purposes	0	0	0	0	•	0		
	Tackling the issue of work carried out by individuals lacking legal permits	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Prevention of discrimination of individuals providing services through platforms, for instance based on gender, racial or ethnic origin	0	0	0	0	•	0		
	Allocation of liability in case of damage	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Other, please specify	0	0	0	0	0	0		
fac	e similar issues as individuals Yes No I don't know	offering serv	ices th	hroug	jh pla	tforms?			
	Please explain and provide ex	kamples.							
pro	In your view, what are the observiding services through platforms? in the offline/traditional ecor	·	orovin	g the	situa	tion of individu	uals		
30	3000 character(s) maximum								

37 To what extent could the possibility to negotiate collectively help improve the situation of individuals offering services:

through online platforms?	会会会会会
in the offline/traditional economy?	会会会会会

38 Which are the areas you would consider most important for you to enable such collective negotiations?

3	000 character(s) maximum
39	In this regard, do you see any obstacles to such negotiations?
	000 character(s) maximum
10	Ave the are otherwise into view would like to unit a O
ŧΟ	Are there other points you would like to raise?
3	000 character(s) maximum

VI. What governance for reinforcing the Single Market for digital services?

The EU's Single Market offers a rich potential for digital services to scale up, including for innovative European companies. Today there is a certain degree of legal fragmentation in the Single Market. One of the main objectives for the Digital Services Act will be to improve opportunities for innovation and 'deepen the Single Market for Digital Services'.

This section of the consultation seeks to collect evidence and views on the current state of the single market and steps for further improvements for a competitive and vibrant Single market for digital services. This module also inquires about the relative impact of the COVID-19 crisis on digital services in the Union. It then focuses on the appropriate governance and oversight over digital services across the EU and means to enhance the cooperation across authorities for an effective supervision of services and for the equal protection of all citizens across the single market. It also inquires about specific cooperation arrangements such as in the case of consumer protection authorities across the Single Market, or the regulatory oversight and cooperation mechanisms among media regulators. This section is not intended to focus on the enforcement of EU data protection rules (GDPR).

Main issues

1 How important are - in your daily life or for your professional transactions - digital services such as accessing websites, social networks, downloading apps, reading news online, shopping online, selling products online?

Overall	
Those offered from outside of your Member State of establishment	

The following questions are targeted at digital service providers

3 Approximately, what share of your EU turnover is generated by the provision of your service outside of your main country of establishment in the EU?

- Less than 10%
- Between 10% and 50%
- Over 50%
- I cannot compute this information

4 To what extent are the following obligations a burden for your company in providing its digital services, when expanding to one or more EU Member State(s)? Please rate the following obligations from 1 (not at all burdensome) to 5 (very burdensome).

	1 (not at all burdensome)	2	3 (neutral)	4	5 (very burdensome)	I don't know / No answer
Different processes and obligations imposed by Member States for notifying, detecting and removing illegal content/goods/services	0	0	0	0	0	0
Requirements to have a legal representative or an establishment in more than one Member State	0	0	0	0	0	0
Different procedures and points of contact for obligations to cooperate with authorities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other types of legal requirements. Please specify below	0	0	0	0	0	0

6 Have your services been subject to enforcement measures by an EU Member State other than your country of establishment?
© Yes
No
I don't know
8 Were you requested to comply with any 'prior authorisation' or equivalent requirement for providing your digital service in an EU Member State?
© Yes
© No
I don't know
10 Are there other issues you would consider necessary to facilitate the provision of cross-border digital services in the European Union? 3000 character(s) maximum
11 What has been the impact of COVID-19 outbreak and crisis management measures on your business' turnover
Significant reduction of turnover
Limited reduction of turnover
No significant change
Modest increase in turnover
Significant increase of turnover
Other
13 Do you consider that deepening of the Single Market for digital services could help the economic recovery of your business?
Yes
No
I don't know
14 Please explain
3000 character(s) maximum

Governance of digital services and aspects of enforcement

The 'country of origin' principle is the cornerstone of the Single Market for digital services. It ensures that digital innovators, including start-ups and SMEs, have a single set of rules to follow (that of their home country), rather than 27 different rules.

This is an important precondition for services to be able to scale up quickly and offer their services across borders. In the aftermath of the COVID-19 outbreak and effective recovery strategy, more than ever, a strong Single Market is needed to boost the European economy and to restart economic activity in the EU.

At the same time, enforcement of rules is key; the protection of all EU citizens regardless of their place of residence, will be in the centre of the Digital Services Act.

The current system of cooperation between Member States foresees that the Member State where a provider of a digital service is established has the duty to supervise the services provided and to ensure that all EU citizens are protected. A cooperation mechanism for cross-border cases is established in the E-Commerce Directive.

1 Based on your experience, how would you assess the cooperation in the Single
Market between authorities entrusted to supervise digital services?

5	200 character(s) maximum

2 What governance arrangements would lead to an effective system for supervising and enforcing rules on online platforms in the EU in particular as regards the intermediation of third party goods, services and content (See also Chapter 1 of the consultation)?

Please rate each of the following aspects, on a scale of 1 (not at all important) to 5 (very important).

	1 (not at all important)	2	3 (neutral)	4	5 (very important)	I don't know / No answer
Clearly assigned competent national authorities or bodies as established by Member States for supervising the systems put in place by online platforms	•	0	•	0	0	•
Cooperation mechanism within Member States across different competent authorities responsible for the systematic supervision of online platforms and sectorial issues (e.g.						

consumer protection, market surveillance, data protection, media regulators, anti-discrimination agencies, equality bodies, law enforcement authorities etc.)		0	©	0	•	0
Cooperation mechanism with swift procedures and assistance across national competent authorities across Member States	0	0	•	0	0	0
Coordination and technical assistance at EU level	0	0	0	•	0	0
An EU-level authority	0	0	•	0	0	0
Cooperation schemes with third parties such as civil society organisations and academics for specific inquiries and oversight	0	0	0	0	•	0
Other: please specify in the text box below	0	0	0	0	•	0

3 Please explain

5000 character(s) maximum

Para garantizar el cumplimiento y eficacia de lo previsto en la DSA es preciso que las autoridades estén bien dotadas, siendo exigible que sean proactivas y actúen en caso de vulneración de las previsiones de la DSA (como ha exigido el TJUE en la sentencia Schrems II en relación con el RGPD).

La cooperación con la sociedad civil puede ser muy beneficiosa pero no debe suponer la subcontratación o delegación de responsabilidad de supervisión a agentes no gubernamentales.

4 What information should competent authorities make publicly available about their supervisory and enforcement activity?

3000 character(s) maximum	
5 What capabilities – type of internal expertise, resources etc are needed w competent authorities, in order to effectively supervise online platforms?	vithin
3000 character(s) maximum	

6 In your view, is there a need to ensure similar supervision of digital services established outside of the EU that provide their services to EU users?

Yes, if they intermediate a certain volume of content, goods and services provided in the EU

Yes, if they have a significant number of users in the EU
No
Other
I don't know
7 Diagon avalois
7 Please explain 3000 character(s) maximum
book onaracter(s) maximum
8 How should the supervision of services established outside of the EU be set up in
an efficient and coherent manner, in your view?
3000 character(s) maximum
9 In your view, what governance structure could ensure that multiple national
authorities, in their respective areas of competence, supervise digital services
coherently and consistently across borders?
3000 character(s) maximum
10 As regards specific areas of competence, such as on consumer protection or
product safety, please share your experience related to the cross-border
cooperation of the competent authorities in the different Member States. 3000 character(s) maximum
boot tharacter(3) maximum
11 In the specific field of audiovisual, the Audiovisual Media Services Directive
established a regulatory oversight and cooperation mechanism in cross border
cases between media regulators, coordinated at EU level within European
Regulators' Group for Audiovisual Media Services (ERGA). In your view is this
sufficient to ensure that users remain protected against illegal and harmful
audiovisual content (for instance if services are offered to users from a different
Member State)? Please explain your answer and provide practical examples if you consider the arrangements may not suffice.
3000 character(s) maximum

12 Would the current system need to be strengthened? If yes, which additional tasks be useful to ensure a more effective enforcement of audiovisual content rules?

Please assess from 1 (least beneficial) -5 (most beneficial). You can assign the same number to the same actions should you consider them as being equally important.

Coordinating the handling of cross-border cases, including jurisdiction matters	
Agreeing on guidance for consistent implementation of rules under the AVMSD	
Ensuring consistency in cross-border application of the rules on the promotion of European works	
Facilitating coordination in the area of disinformation	会会会
Other areas of cooperation	会会会

13 Other areas of cooperation - (please, indicate which ones)

3	3000 character(s) maximum								

14 Are there other points you would like to raise?

3	3000 character(s) maximum								

Final remarks

If you wish to upload a position paper, article, report, or other evidence and data for the attention of the European Commission, please do so.

1 Upload file

The maximum file size is 1 MB
Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

2 Other final comments

3000 character(s) maximum

Useful links

<u>Digital Services Act package (https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/digital-services-act-package)</u>

Background Documents

(BG) Речник на термините

(CS) Glosř

(DA) Ordliste

(DE) Glossar

(EL) á

(EN) Glossary

(ES) Glosario

(ET) Snastik

(FI) Sanasto

(FR) Glossaire

(HR) Pojmovnik

(HU) Glosszrium

(IT) Glossario

(LT) Žodynėlis

(LV) Glosārijs

(MT) Glossarju

(NL) Verklarende woordenlijst

(PL) Słowniczek

(PT) Glossrio

(RO) Glosar

(SK) Slovnk

(SL) Glosar

(SV) Ordlista

Contact

CNECT-consultation-DSA@ec.europa.eu